UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re AMTRUST FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	: Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-01545-LAK : CLASS ACTION
This Document Relates To:	
ALL ACTIONS.	: : V

[PROPOSED] JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT AND DISCOVERY PLAN

Pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Lead Plaintiff North Atlantic States Carpenters Guaranteed Annuity and Pension Funds ("Lead Plaintiff") and plaintiffs Sharon Albano, Jupiter Capital Management, Irving Lichtman Revocable Living Trust, and Stanley Newmark (collectively with Lead Plaintiff, "Plaintiffs")¹, together with defendants AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. ("AmTrust" or the "Company"), the Individual Defendants² (together with AmTrust, the "AmTrust Defendants"), the Underwriter Defendants³ and BDO USA, LLP ("BDO") (together with the AmTrust Defendants and the Underwriter Defendants ("Defendants")), respectfully submit this [Proposed] Joint Rule 26(f) Report and Discovery Plan for the Court's review and approval. The following chart sets forth the parties' proposals for a

¹ Counsel recently learned that Mr. Newmark has passed away, and will be filing a Suggestion of Death.

² The "Individual Defendants" are Barry D. Zyskind, Ronald E. Pipoly, Jr., Donald T. DeCarlo, Susan C. Fisch, Abraham Gulkowitz, George Karfunkel, and Jay J. Miller. Counsel recently learned that Mr. Pipoly, Jr. has passed away, and are filing a Suggestion of Death.

The "Underwriter Defendants" are Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, RBC Capital Markets, LLC, and UBS Securities LLC.

pre-trial schedule (the "Schedule"), with additional detail for particular aspects of the Schedule provided below. The Rule 16 Conference for this case has not yet been scheduled by the Court.

EVENT	DEADLINE
Exchange of Initial Fed. R. Civ. P. 26	February 13, 2025
Disclosures	•
Initial Discovery Requests	February 27, 2025
Answers to Third Consolidated Amended	March 17, 2025
Complaint	,
Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 Conference	To be set by the Court
Responses and Objections to Initial	March 27, 2025
Discovery Requests	·
Parties to Substantially Complete Document	August 29, 2025
Productions	-
Class Certification Motion (including expert	September 18, 2025
reports, if any)	
Parties to Complete Document	October 30, 2025
Productions	
Class Certification Opposition	November 18, 2025
(including expert reports, if any)	
Produce Privilege and Redaction Logs	November 21, 2025
Class Certification Reply	January 22, 2026
Class Certification Hearing	To be set by the Court
Service of all Interrogatories,	March 18, 2026
Requests for Admissions and	
Requests for Production	
Amend Pleadings and/or Join	March 25, 2026
Additional Parties Without Leave of	
Court	
Fact Discovery Cutoff	June 25, 2026
Identification of Experts	July 17, 2026
Serve Expert Reports	60 days after Fact Discovery Cutoff
Serve Rebuttal Expert Reports	60 days after Deadline to Serve Expert Reports
Expert Discovery Cutoff	30 days after Deadline to Serve
	Rebuttal Expert Reports
File Dispositive Motion(s)	60 days after Expert Discovery
	Cutoff
Opposition(s) to Dispositive Motion(s)	45 days after Deadline to File Dispositive
	Motion(s)
Reply in Support of Dispositive Motion(s)	30 days after Opposition(s) to Dispositive
D 1 D 11	Motion(s)
Designate Potential Trial Witnesses and	45 days before the Final Pretrial Conference
Proposed Exhibits	
Joint Set of Jury Instructions	45 days before the Final Pretrial Conference

EVENT	DEADLINE
Final Pretrial Conference	To be set by the Court
Proposed Trial Date	To be set by the Court

I. THE SCOPE OF DISCOVERY

The parties anticipate discovery on a number of issues, including the claims pled in the Third Consolidated Amended Complaint (ECF No. 172) that were not dismissed, class certification, any damages or other remedies sought, and any claims or defenses pled in Defendants' answers. Plaintiffs also anticipate potential third-party discovery, including, but not limited to, potential discovery from current and/or former employees of: (i) AmTrust; (ii) each of the Underwriter Defendants; and (iii) BDO, as well as market analysts and/or government agencies. All parties reserve their rights to object to any requests for discovery. The parties further agree that discovery should not be conducted in phases.

II. THE SCHEDULE

A. Depositions

Plaintiffs' Position: Given the complexity of this action, the number of Defendants, and the likely number of third-party witnesses, Plaintiffs believe the default number of fact depositions imposed by the federal rules should be increased to a maximum of 40 per side (plus experts). The default set by the federal rules is designed and intended for typical, two-party actions, not complex securities class actions like this. In this case, there are 14 named Defendants, subject to varying causes of action, all with potentially unique defenses. There are also numerous government agencies and analysts, as well as current and/or former employees of AmTrust, BDO, and the Underwriter Defendants likely to have relevant information going to the heart of this action. Those categories alone could warrant 40 depositions.

Defendants' Position: Defendants believe that 40 fact depositions per side is excessive, particularly given the fact that the claims have been substantially narrowed by this Court and, in turn, the Second Circuit. Defendants propose a limit of 20 fact depositions per side.

B. Interrogatories

The parties agree that Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 33.3 shall govern the number and scope of interrogatories in this action. As permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, additional interrogatories may be granted by further order of the Court or by stipulation.

C. Document Production

The parties agree that documents shall be produced on a rolling basis. The parties further agree that privilege logs shall be served no later than 30 days after the date of completion of document production.

D. End of Fact Discovery

The parties agree that all discovery requests, except contention interrogatories (which may be served after the conclusion of fact discovery), shall be served on any party or non-party at least 30 days before the end of fact discovery.

E. Expert Witness Disclosure and Discovery

As set forth in the Schedule, the parties agree that expert witness disclosure and discovery deadlines should be tied to the end of fact discovery.

F. Dispositive Motion Deadline

Dispositive motions and supporting materials will be filed no later than 60 days after the end of expert discovery.

III. ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION

The parties anticipate agreeing to an appropriate searchable format and production protocol

in connection with discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI").

IV. CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE

ORDER

The parties believe that a stipulated protective order should be entered to govern the

exchange of confidential information in this action. The parties agree to meet and confer to reach

an agreement on the scope of a proposed stipulated protective order for submission to the Court.

V. SERVICE OF PAPERS

The parties agree to serve and receive case-related documents and correspondence,

including discovery requests and responses and documents filed with the Court, via electronic

mail; provided, however, that the parties may agree in writing to utilize an alternate form of service

without further order of the Court.

VI. AMENDMENTS TO THIS DISCOVERY PLAN

The parties may jointly agree to modify the deadlines set forth herein by written agreement

unless the modification alters a filing deadline with the Court, in which case Court approval is

required.

DATED: January 27, 2025

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

STEVEN M. FARINA

AMANDA M. MACDONALD

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN

& DOWD LLP

SAMUEL H. RUDMAN

DAVID A. ROSENFELD

ROBERT D. GERSON

/s/ Steven M. Farina

STEVEN M. FARINA

/s/ David A. Rosenfeld

DAVID A. ROSENFELD

- 5 -

680 Maine Avenue SW Washington, DC 20024 Telephone: 202/434-5000 sfarina@wc.com amacdonald@wc.com

Counsel for the AmTrust Defendants

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP TIMOTHY E. HOEFFNER JASON D. GERSTEIN LUDWIG VON RIGAL

/s/ Timothy E. Hoeffner
TIMOTHY E. HOEFFNER

One Vanderbilt Avenue New York, NY 10017-3852 Telephone: 212/547-5400 212-547-5444 (fax) thoeffner@mwe.com jgerstein@mwe.com lvonrigal@mwe.com

Counsel for Defendant BDO

ROPES & GRAY LLP GREGG L. WEINER CHRISTOPHER THOMAS BROWN

/s/ Gregg L. Weiner
GREGG L. WEINER

1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8704 Telephone: 212/596-9000 gregg.weiner@ropesgray.com thomas.brown@ropesgray.com

Counsel for the Underwriter Defendants

58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax) srudman@rgrdlaw.com drosenfeld@rgrdlaw.com rgerson@rgrdlaw.com

Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

POMERANTZ LLP JEREMY A. LIEBERMAN 600 Third Avenue 20th Floor New York, NY 10016 Telephone: 212/661-1100 212/661-8665 (fax) jalieberman@pomlaw.com

GAINEY MCKENNA & EGLESTON THOMAS J. McKENNA 501 Fifth Avenue, 19th Floor New York, NY 10017 Telephone: 212/983-1300 212/983-0380 (fax) tjmckenna@gme-law.com

KAHN SWICK & FOTI, LLC KIM E. MILLER 250 Park Avenue, Suite 2040 New York, NY 10177 Telephone: 212/696-3730 504/455-1498 (fax) kim.miller@ksfcounsel.com

Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs

IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED:	
	THE HONORABLE LEWIS A KAPLAN
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE